Jens F. Mahlmann

Power talk Open forum Deep dive

## Black holes in computers

## Relativistic jets in progenitors of gamma-ray-bursts



## Jens F. Mahlmann, Pablo Cerdá-Durán, Miguel A. Aloy

University of Valencia Departament d'Astronomia i Astrofísica (CAMAP) Poster Session / HPC Summer School / July 9 - 13, 2018









-

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

European Research Council Established by the European Commission

Jens F. Mahlmann

Power talk Open forum Deep dive References Force-free magnetospheres in computers Focusing on electrodynamics around compact objects Goal: Scalable code for the simulation of *force-free* magnetospheres on the dynamical metric of *compact objects* (e.g., neutron stars or black holes).

**Physics:** In the limit of high field energy (low particle inertia), General Relativistic magnetohydrocynamics (GRMHD) reduces to *General Relativistic force-free electrodynamics (GRFFE)*.

#### [1] Full Maxwell's equations evolution

(Komissarov, 2002, 2004, 2007; Paschalidis and Shapiro, 2013)

[2] Energy flow evolution (McKinney, 2006; Paschalidis and Shapiro, 2013; Etienne et al., 2017)

 $\nabla_{\nu}F^{\mu\nu} = J^{\mu} \qquad \nabla_{\nu}^{*}F^{\mu\nu} = 0$ 

 $\nabla_{\mu}T^{\mu}_{\nu} = 0 \qquad \nabla_{\nu}{}^{*}F^{\mu\nu} = 0$ 

- *Explicit* methods on *structured* grids (well suited for hyperbolic, non-stiff equations)
- *Conservative* schemes require computing a unique *flux* per numerical zone (exact and HLL *Riemann solvers*)
- Cover a variety of time and length scales (e.g., at *current sheets*). This requires adaptivity of the *mesh*, highly accurate regions, and parallel scalability.

Jens F. Mahlmann

Power talk Open forum Deep dive References

## Simulations of Blandford/Znajek process Setting up a generic GRFFE problem



Figure: Visualization of the mag, field (B) initial data around the BH (mass m = 1, spin a = 0.9). A numerical solution to the Grad-Shafranov equation is obtained via the solver architecture in the *CoCoNut* code (cf. Adsuara et al., 2016) and as initial data for simulations employing the *Einstein Toolkit*.  The numerical techniques solving the Grad-Shafranov equation around spinning Kerr BHs may be used with existing infrastructure of numerical PDE solvers, e.g., the *CoCoNut* code.

(Cerdá-Durán et al., 2009; Adsuara et al., 2016)

- Spacetime initial data for rapidly spinning BHs (high Blandford/Znajek luminosities expected) is tested on the *Carpet* grid of the *Einstein Toolkit*. (Liu et al., 2009)
- We have adapted the evolution routines available for the ET to account for a FF magnetized plasma around spinning BHs implemented as *punctures*. Our implementation is inspired by previous work on GRMHD using the ET and GRFFE.

(Faber et al., 2007; Mösta et al., 2014; Etienne et al., 2017)

Jens F. Mahlmann

Power talk Open forum Deep dive

## Scaling tests need scalable architectures Parallel tests of GRiFFiN code - way to go!

*Tearing mode* scaling tests on static (flat) background. *Einstein Toolkit* shows weak scalability (Löffler et al., 2012) on selected large machines.



Jens F. Mahlmann

Power talk

Open forum Deep dive

## Open forum: Let's discuss

## Questions. Answers. Remarks. Discussion. Thank you.









< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Established by the European Commission

Jens F. Mahlmann

#### Power talk

Open forum

#### Deep dive

CAMAP Jets Project status Force-free

References

## How numerical simulations (may) help us Examples from CAMAP/Valencia



**Goal:** Application of established numerical techniques within other disciplines (e.g., astroeye).



Neutron star mergers

forming a rotating *black hole* with magnetized

environment. (Rezzolla

2014; Ruiz et al., 2016)

et al., 2011; Kiuchi et al.,

**Goal:** Probing of specific mechanisms (e.g., *dissipation, radiation*) and development of numerical codes/strategies.

Interdisciplinary work, e.g., a joined project of mathematicians, theoretical physicists and optometrists at the *University of Valencia*.

**Goal:** Access to astrophysical scenarios (e.g., *binary mergers*, gravitational waves, *jets*). Numerical simulation of *jet* propagation (Mimica et al., 2013).



Jens F. Mahlmann

#### Power talk

Open forum

- Deep dive
- CAMAP Jets Project status Force-free
- References

## Relativitic jets are very strong accelerators Observation of cosmic high energy phenomena

- Jets are highly collimated outflows from compact objects.
- Very high *Lorentz factors* are possible.
- Both internal and external *shocks* are subject of current studies and simulations.
- *Dissipative* mechanisms occur in interaction with the *interstellar medium*.

Open questions remain mainly in jet launching and collimation.



Figure: *Top:* Pulsar IGR J11014-6103 (Chandra X-ray observatory). *Bottom:* M87 radio galaxy (National Radio Astranomy Observatory).

Jens F. Mahlmann

Power talk

Open forum

Deep dive CAMAP Jets Project status Force-free

References





- Implementation and testing of a numerical procedure for magnetosphere data.
- Expand solving schemes towards more complicated field topologies.

Stage I published in MNRAS (JM, P. Cerdá-Durán, M. A. Aloy, 2018)

# Research stages and methods

Numerical simulations as astrophysical experiments

Stage II: Simulations



- Preparation of initial data for time evolution setups.
- Adaptation of suitable evolution schemes (employing the *Einstein Toolkit*).

### Stage III: Evaluation/Feedback

Fortran code segment

call Compute Function Value(PsiGrid(1,1,k), ITmp
Linear operator coefficients >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
! Linear terms from BZ77 - Simplified in Mathematic
c1(1,1,i,j,k) = (1.0d0/SigmaBL**2.0d0)* &
(2.0d0*bhspin sq*cBL sq*(bhmass+OmegaTmp*sB
<pre>bhspin_sq*(bhmass-rBL)*OmegaTmp*sBL_sq))+ &amp;</pre>
2.0d0*rBL*((-bhmass)*rBL+OmegaTmp*sBL sq*(2
c11(1,1,i,j,k) = ((2.0d0*bhmass-rBL)*rBL-bhspin_
bhspin sq*(bhspin sq-2.0d0*bhmass*rBL+rBL s
c2(1,1,i,j,k) = (32.0d0*(bhspin_sq+rBL_sq)*Delta
(-8.0d0*bhspin_sq*(3.0d0*bhspin_sq+4.0d0*rB
(5.0d0*bhspin**6.0d0+16.0d0*r8L**6.0d0+16.0
4.0d0*bhspin_sq*(-bhspin_sq+4.0d0*bhspin*bh
<pre>sin(4.0d0*theta(j))+bhspin**4.0d0*DeltaBL*0</pre>
(32.0d0*DeltaBL*SigmaBL**2.0d0)
<pre>c22(1,1,i,j,k) = ((2.0d0*bhmass-rBL)*rBL-bhspin_</pre>
bhspin_sq*(bhspin_sq-2.0d0*bhmass*rBL+rBL_s
! Non-linear operator coefficients >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
! Non-linear terms from BZ// - Simplified in Mat
<pre>if ((1.lt.1).or.(1.gt.m).or.(].lt.1).or.(].gt.n)</pre>
Source(1, j, k)=0.0d0
else
Source(1,j,k)= (-((sBL_sq)*(-2.0d0*bhspin*bhm
Stomald #OmenallerivativeTop \%///rR  - r/i+1

- Classify initial data in terms of *stability* and the observation of *jet launching*.
- Understand the role of force-free evolution vs. ideal MHD implementations.

Jens F. Mahlmann

Power talk

Open forum

Deep dive CAMAP Jets Project status Force-free

References



Post-merger data



## How to contribute to the community? Key drivers: Complexity and cost reduction

Despite *parallelized codes*, large computational power is required for simulation projects and everyday work:

- Rezzolla et al. (2011) project required ~ 200.000 *core hours*.
- CAMAP used ~ 250.000 core hours in wide-area computer centers the last 4 month (more that 5 million the last 5 years).

Relativistic jets are a post-merger phenomenon, hence, the (violent) merger simulation is not required **if** physical initial data could be used instead:

- Reduction of numerical complexity of the employed code.
- Faster access to relevant simulation episodes (in order to do theoretical astrophysics).

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

#### Jens F. Mahlmann

Power tall

Open forum

#### Deep dive

CAMAP Jets Project status Force-free evolution

#### References

## Time evolution of FF electrodynamics I Comparison of evolution schemes

#### [1] Full Maxwell's equations evolution

(Komissarov, 2002, 2004, 2007; Paschalidis and Shapiro, 2013)

$$\nabla_{\nu}F^{\mu\nu} = J^{\mu} \qquad \nabla_{\nu}F^{\mu\nu} = 0$$

#### [2] Energy flow evolution

(McKinney, 2006; Paschalidis and Shapiro, 2013; Etienne et al., 2017)

$$\nabla_{\mu}T^{\mu}_{\nu} = 0 \qquad \nabla_{\nu}{}^{*}F^{\mu\nu} = 0$$

#### Augmented system

(Dedner et al., 2002; Palenzuela et al., 2009; Mignone and Tzeferacos, 2010)

## and Tzeferac

$$\nabla_{\nu} \left( {}^{*} F^{\mu\nu} + \left( c_{\mathbf{h}}^{2} \gamma^{\mu\nu} - \mathbf{n}^{\mu} \mathbf{n}^{\nu} \right) \psi \right) = -\kappa_{\psi} k^{\mu} \psi$$
$$\nabla_{\nu} \left( F^{\mu\nu} + g^{\mu\nu} \phi \right) = J^{\mu} - \kappa_{\phi} k^{\mu} \phi$$

#### Augmented system

(Dedner et al., 2002; Palenzuela et al., 2009; Mignone and Tzeferacos, 2010)

$$\nabla_{\nu} \Big( {}^{*}\! \mathbf{F}^{\mu\nu} + \left( {}^{\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{h}}}^{2} \gamma^{\mu\nu} - \mathbf{n}^{\mu} \mathbf{n}^{\nu} \right) \psi \Big) = -\kappa_{\psi} \mathbf{k}^{\mu} \psi$$

The div**B** = 0 and div**D** =  $\rho$  constraints are ensured by a mixed *hyperbolic/parabolic* correction with the additional scalar potentials  $\psi$  and  $\phi$ . In its analogy to the *telegraph equation*, the factor  $c_h$  is the finite propagation speed of divergence errors, the constants  $\kappa_{\psi}$  and  $\kappa_{\phi}$  are their damping rate. The above equations are formulated in a *conserved flux formulation*:

$$\partial_t \mathcal{C} + \partial_j \mathcal{F}^j = \mathcal{S}_n + \mathcal{S}_s$$

・ロト ・ 日下 ・ 日下 ・ 日下 ・ 今日 ト

lens F Mahlmann

Project status Force-free evolution

## Time evolution of FF electrodynamics II Conserved flux formulation (dynamic spacetimes)

# $\mathcal{C} \equiv \gamma \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\psi}{\alpha} \\ \frac{\phi}{\alpha} \\ B^{i} + \frac{\psi}{\alpha} \beta^{i} \\ D^{i} - \frac{\phi}{\beta} \beta^{i} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \mathcal{F}^{j} \equiv \gamma \begin{pmatrix} B^{j} - \frac{\psi}{\alpha} \beta^{i} \\ - \left(D^{j} + \frac{\phi}{\alpha} \beta^{i}\right) \\ e^{ijk}E_{k} + \alpha \left(\frac{c_{h}^{2} \gamma^{ij} - n^{i}n^{j}}{\rho}\right) \\ - \left(e^{ijk}H_{k} + \alpha g^{ij}\phi\right) \end{pmatrix}$

$$S_{n} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} -\gamma \alpha \psi \, \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{t} \left( c_{h}^{2} \gamma^{\alpha\beta} - n^{\alpha} n^{\beta} \right) \\ -\gamma \alpha \phi \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{t} g^{\alpha\beta} - \gamma \rho \\ -\psi \left[ \alpha \gamma \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{i} \left( c_{h}^{2} \gamma^{\alpha\beta} - n^{\alpha} n^{\beta} \right) \right] \\ -\gamma \alpha \phi \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{i} g^{\alpha\beta} - \gamma J^{i} \end{pmatrix} \qquad S_{s} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha \gamma \kappa_{\psi} \psi \\ -\alpha \gamma \kappa_{\phi} \phi \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{C} \equiv = \gamma \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\psi}{\alpha} \\ B^{i} + \frac{\psi}{\alpha} \beta^{i} \\ \alpha T^{t}_{i} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \mathcal{F}^{j} \equiv \gamma \begin{pmatrix} B^{j} - \frac{\psi}{\alpha} \beta^{i} \\ e^{ijk} E_{k} + \alpha \begin{pmatrix} c_{h}^{2} \gamma^{ij} - n^{i} n^{j} \end{pmatrix} \\ \alpha T^{i}_{j} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$S_{n} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} --\gamma \alpha \psi \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{t} \left( c_{h}^{2} \gamma^{\alpha\beta} - n^{\alpha} n^{\beta} \right) \\ -\psi \left[ \alpha \gamma \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{i} \left( c_{h}^{2} \gamma^{\alpha\beta} - n^{\alpha} n^{\beta} \right) \right] \\ \frac{1}{2} \alpha g_{\mu\nu,i} T^{\mu\nu} \end{pmatrix} \qquad S_{s} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha \gamma \kappa_{\psi} \psi \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

[1] Full Maxwell's

 Requires (force-free)

2011) Fluxes derived from conserved *quantities* 

[2] Energy flow evolution D is reconstructed  $(\mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0)$ Fluxes derived from *primitive* quantities

equations evolution

currents (cf.

Komissarov.

#### Jens F. Mahlmann

- Open forun
- Deep dive
- References

#### J. E. Adsuara, I. Cordero-Carrión, P. Cerdá-Durán, and M. A. Aloy. Scheduled Relaxation Jacobi method: Improvements and applications. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 321:369–413, Sept. 2016. doi:10.1016/j.jcp.2016.05.053.

- P. Cerdá-Durán, N. Stergioulas, and J. A. Font. Alfvén QPOs in magnetars in the anelastic approximation. MNRAS, 397(3):1607–1620, 2009. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2009.15056.x.
- A. Dedner, F. Kemm, D. Kröner, C.-D. Munz, T. Schnitzer, and M. Wesenberg. Hyperbolic Divergence Cleaning for the MHD Equations. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 175:645–673, Jan. 2002. doi:10.1006/jcph.2001.6961.
- Z. B. Etienne, M.-B. Wan, M. C. Babiuc, S. T. McWilliams, and A. Choudhary. Giraffe: An open-source general relativistic force-free electrodynamics code. 2017.
- J. A. Faber, T. W. Baumgarte, Z. B. Etienne, S. L. Shapiro, and K. Taniguchi. Relativistic hydrodynamics in the presence of puncture black holes. *Phys. Rev. D*, 76(10), 2007. doi:10.1103/physrevd.76.104021.
- K. Kiuchi, K. Kyutoku, Y. Sekiguchi, M. Shibata, and T. Wada. High resolution numerical relativity simulations for the merger of binary magnetized neutron stars. *Phys. Rev. D*, 90(4), 2014. doi:10.1103/physrevd.90.041502.
- S. S. Komissarov. Time-dependent, force-free, degenerate electrodynamics. MNRAS, 336(3):759–766, 2002. doi:10.1046/j.1365-8711.2002.05313.x.
- S. S. Komissarov. Electrodynamics of black hole magnetospheres. MNRAS, 350(2):427–448, 2004. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2004.07598.x.
- S. S. Komissarov. Multidimensional numerical scheme for resistive relativistic magnetohydrodynamics. MNRAS, 382:995–1004, Dec. 2007. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2007.12448.x.
- S. S. Komissarov. 3+1 magnetodynamics. MNRAS, 418:L94–L98, Nov. 2011. doi:10.1111/j.1745-3933.2011.01150.x.
- Y. T. Liu, Z. B. Etienne, and S. L. Shapiro. Evolution of near-extremal-spin black holes using the moving puncture technique. *Phys. Rev. D*, 80(12), 2009. doi:10.1103/physrevd.80.121503.

## References I

#### Jens F. Mahlmann

- Power talk
- Open forum
- Deep dive
- References

#### F. Löffler, J. Faber, E. Bentivegna, T. Bode, P. Diener, R. Haas, I. Hinder, B. C. Mundim, C. D. Ott, E. Schnetter, G. Allen, M. Campanelli, and P. Laguna. The Einstein Toolkit: a community computational infrastructure for relativistic astrophysics. *Classical and Quantum Gravity*, 29(11):115001, June 2012. doi:10.1088/0264-9381/29/11/115001.

- J. C. McKinney. General relativistic force-free electrodynamics: a new code and applications to black hole magnetospheres. MNRAS, 367:1797–1807, Apr. 2006. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2006.10087.x.
- A. Mignone and P. Tzeferacos. A second-order unsplit Godunov scheme for cell-centered MHD: The CTU-GLM scheme. J. Comput. Phys., 229:2117–2138, 2010. doi:10.1016/j.jcp.2009.11.026.
- P. Mimica, M. A. Aloy, J. M. Rueda-Becerril, S. Tabik, and C. Aloy. Numerical simulations of dynamics and emission from relativistic astrophysical jets. *Journal of Physics Conference Series*, 454(1):012001, 2013. doi:10.1088/1742-6596/454/1/012001.
- P. Mösta, B. C. Mundim, J. A. Faber, R. Haas, S. C. Noble, T. Bode, F. Löffler, C. D. Ott, C. Reisswig, and E. Schnetter. GRHydro: a new open-source general-relativistic magnetohydrodynamics code for the Einstein toolkit. *Classical and Quantum Gravity*, 31(1):015005, Jan. 2014. doi:10.1088/0264-9381/31/1/015005.
- C. Palenzuela, L. Lehner, O. Reula, and L. Rezzolla. Beyond ideal MHD: towards a more realistic modelling of relativistic astrophysical plasmas. MNRAS, 394:1727–1740, 2009. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2009.14454.x.
- V. Paschalidis and S. L. Shapiro. A new scheme for matching general relativistic ideal magnetohydrodynamics to its force-free limit. *Phys. Rev. D*, 88(10):104031, Nov. 2013. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.88.104031.
- L. Rezzolla, B. Giacomazzo, L. Baiotti, J. Granot, C. Kouveliotou, and M. A. Aloy. The missing link: Merging neutron stars naturally produce jet-like structures and can power short gamma-ray bursts. *ApJ*, 732(1): L6, 2011. doi:10.1088/2041-8205/732/1/16.
- M. Ruiz, R. N. Lang, V. Paschalidis, and S. L. Shapiro. Binary Neutron Star Mergers: A Jet Engine for Short Gamma-Ray Bursts. ApJL, 824:L6, June 2016. doi:10.3847/2041-8205/824/1/L6.

## References II