

Kinetic simulations of plasma turbulence using the discontinuous Galerkin finite element method

Tess Bernard Univ. of Texas at Austin, Institute for Fusion Studies

Advised by Greg Hammett Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory Co-authors: A. Hakim, E. Shi, M. Francisquez, N. Mandel, J. Juno





HPC is vital to plasma fusion research

- Necessary to understand turbulence in magnetically confined fusion devices like **tokamaks** in the effort to achieve a "break-even" energy point in fusion experiments like ITER.
- Outside the separatrix, in the scrape-off layer (SOL), magnetic field lines connect to the wall. Much of the thermal power flows along field lines to divertor plates at the bottom. Suppressing SOL turbulence can improve core plasma confinement.
- Until recently, most SOL modeling has been with fluid codes, which may miss important kinetic effects on transport along field lines.





Gyrokinetics and Gkeyll

- **Gyrokinetics**: "model rings not particles"
- In plasmas, charged particles gyrate quickly around magnetic field lines
- If times scales of interest >> gyro-period and if disturbances along field lines >> gyro-radii, one can average over gyromotion to reduce dimensionality of the probability distribution function



- Gkeyll is a computational framework for kinetic and fluid plasma simulations (<u>http://gkyl.rtfd.io</u>)
- Uses the modal discontinuous Galerkin
 (DG) computational method
 - Benefits: local and parallelizable, easily extends to higher orders, handles shocks well, maintains conservation properties



Gyrokinetic modeling of Texas Helimak

- Helimak experiment has helical, open field lines and allows investigation on of SOL-like turbulence in simple geometry (R, z, φ)
- Extensive diagnostics facilitate comparison with computational models
- Helimak simulations using **Gkeyll** solve a gyrokinetic equation in the long-wavelength limit using the gyro-center distribution function, $f(\mathbf{R}, v_{\parallel}, \mu, t)$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{J}f}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left(\mathcal{J}\{\mathbf{R}, H\}f \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial v_{\parallel}} (\mathcal{J}\{v_{\parallel}, H\}f) = \mathcal{J}C[f] + \mathcal{J}S$$

- Electric potential solved from gyrokinetic Poisson equation: $-\nabla_{\perp} \cdot \left(\frac{n_{i0}m_i}{q_iB^2}\nabla_{\perp}\phi\right) = \sigma_g(\mathbf{R},t) = e[n_i(\mathbf{R},t) - n_e(\mathbf{R},t)]$
- Moments of *f* give the fluid moments, including density, momentum and temperature
- Other features:
 - Non-orthogonal field-line following coordinate system
 - Conducting sheath BCs in parallel direction (along B field)
 - Dirichlet BCs in radial direction (x)
 - Periodic BCs in poloidal or bi-normal direction (y)





Simulation results

- Simulations completed on ~24,000 CPU-hours on TACC 's Stampede 1 cluster with output files in HDF5.
- Post-processing completed using Postgkyl, a Python post-processing tool for Gkeyll.



- Orange and green lines represent different magnetic field line connection lengths, L_c
- Experimental data is represented by dashed lines.
- Source profiles (in grav) are narrow, but steady state profiles are wider due to turbulence.



This plot gives a decay length of 8.75 cm, close to experimental value of 8.67 cm.



The PDF is skewed to events below the mean density and consistent with fluid simulations and experiment.

Radial cross-correlation

PDF at *R* = 1.3 m

Current work

- We are upgrading Gkeyll to a new version that is mostly written in LuaJIT, with time-critical components in C++
- It has been optimized for Knight's Landing (KNL) chips on Stampede 2 at TACC with MPI-3's shared memory methods
- Using **modal DG** results in a sparse mass matrix: core kernels are pre-generated using Maxima computer algebra system (CAS)
- Discretization of energy-conserving gyrokinetic Lenard-Bernstein collision operator to reproduce SOL simulations with Gkeyll v2.0

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f_{\alpha}}{\partial t} &= C_{\alpha\beta}[f_{\alpha}] \\ C_{\alpha\beta}[f_{\alpha}] &= \nu_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_{\parallel}} \left[(v_{\parallel} - u_{\parallel,\alpha}) f_{\alpha} + v_{t,\alpha\beta}^{2} \frac{\partial f_{\alpha}}{\partial v_{\parallel}} \right] + \nu_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \left[2\mu f_{\alpha} + 2 \frac{m_{\alpha} v_{t,\alpha\beta}^{2}}{B} \mu \frac{\partial f_{\alpha}}{\partial \mu} \right] \end{aligned}$$

References:

[1] http://gkyl.readthedocs.io

[2] Shi, E. L., et al. (2017). J. Plasma Phys., <u>http://doi.org/10.1017/S002237781700037X</u>
 [3] Shi, E.L., Princeton Ph.D. (2017) <u>arXiv:1708.07283v1</u>

[4] J. Juno, A. Hakim, et al., J. Comp. Phys. (2018)., <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcp.2017.10.009</u>